

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

286191

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO-YEAR)

09 December 2002

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: **SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE**
ATTENTION **ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS**
 ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Russell O. Schaefer		RANK Lt.	STAR NO. 606	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN. 021	
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 8201 South Racine / Chicago		DATE OF INCIDENT -TIME 23 November 2002 / 1130		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE* 01	
ACCUSED	NAME 1. GLOVER, Kevin	RANK Sgt.	STAR NO. 1587	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN. 021	
	2.	SEX/RACE 1. M/B	D.O.B. 59	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 08 June 1981	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	PHYS. COND. CODE# DNA
	2.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN		
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED 1		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
2.							
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE F / B	D.O.B./AGE 72	PHYS. COND. CODE# 01
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE#
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AGE UNK	PHYS. COND. CODE#

SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS
The complainant alleges: During a dispute that occurred over a two dollar payout for an instant lottery ticket that was presented to her by Kevin Glover, she was threatened by being told that he would pistol whip her if she did not give him the two dollars. This occurred after Glover disputed the fact that Davis paid him the two dollars as requested. This incident occurred at the service counter at the Mo & Oink food store located at 8201 S. Racine Ave.

Both [REDACTED] are employees and working for the Mo & Oink food store chain at the time this altercation.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*	
01	Food Sales/Restaurant
02	Tavern/Liquor Store
03	Other Business Establishment
04	Police Building
05	Lockup Facility
06	Police Maintenance Facility
07	CPD Automotive Pound Facility
08	Other Police Property
09	Police Communications System
10	Court Room

11	Public Transportation Veh./Facility
12	Park District Property
13	Airport
14	Public Property Other
15	Other Private Premise
16	Expressway/Interstate System
17	Public Way - Other
18	Waterway, Incl. Park District
19	Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†	
01	No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02	No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03	Injured, Not Hospitalized
04	Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05	Injured, Hospitalized
06	Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07	Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08	Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09	Deceased
10	Deceased - Under Influence

* * IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY
Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

As stated in the attached reports, this dispute between the complainant [REDACTED] and the accused (Glover) began when Glover alleged that [REDACTED] had not paid him the two dollars that he won with an instant lottery ticket. He presented this ticket to [REDACTED] at the customer service desk at Mo & Oink where she was assigned to work that day. Glover is a part time employee of the store and was working that day in a security position. [REDACTED] alleges that during the dispute, Glover threatened to take her outside of the store and pistol whip her. [REDACTED] in her interview stated that she had one witness to this incident and another who had knowledge of it. Both persons were store employees, one of which is her supervisor and the other is the store manager.

R/LT interviewed both witnesses who stated in their interviews that they were not present at the time that the alleged threat was made but became aware of the dispute only after it had occurred. Both witnesses spoke to [REDACTED] and Glover on that day about the incident. Both witnesses who were not able to contribute any information relative to this allegation, would lead the interviewer and the reader to believe that the problem in this dispute would not have been with Glover but with [REDACTED]. See attachments # 3 & 4

Glover, in his report and subsequent interview verifies that there was a dispute over the two dollar payout for his winning lottery ticket. Glover reports that he did his best to defuse the exchange as the complainant got "loud and ugly" in the store in front of the customers.

In his own defense, Glover provided this investigator with no witnesses, only his report and interview denying the allegation. In order to sustain her allegation, [REDACTED] provided this investigator with two witnesses who would support her in this matter. Neither witness was able to provide that support during their interview, both denying that they heard Glover's alleged threat.

Having conducted a complete and impartial investigation into this matter, I must conclude that there is no evidence available at this time to either prove or disprove the allegation as stated by the complainant. As a consequence, I recommend that this investigation be closed and classified as Not-Sustained against the accused, Sergeant Kevin Glover.

ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	-0-	3 & 4	-0-	7

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days).

CASE FINDING: NOT-SUSTAINED

RECOMMENDATION: CASE CLOSED WITH NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME)
12 Dec 2002	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] FEB 2003

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM

Twenty First District

10 January 2003

Listing of Attachments for Complaint Register No. 286191

1. Complaint Against Department Member
2. Interview of Complainant [REDACTED]
3. Interview of witness: [REDACTED]
4. Interview of witness: [REDACTED]
5. Report of accused officer: KEVIN GLOVER and related CPD documents
6. Notification of additional identified witness: [REDACTED]
7. Notification of additional identified witness: [REDACTED]